

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/759,117 | 01/20/2004 | Hirohisa Fujita | 14-024 | 6658 | |
| 23400 7 | 7590 04/22/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC | | | TRAN, DALENA | | |
| 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| RESTON, VA | 20191 | | 3661 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005 | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/759,117 | FUJITA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dalena Tran | 3661 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja | nuary 2004. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | ` ' | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | , | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04. | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/759,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-7 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on 1/20/04 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1, is rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. (4,939,725) in view of Yoshida et al. (6,534,883).

As per claim 1, Matsuda et al. disclose a failure diagnosis method of a communication network for a vehicle that is constructed by an electronic control device and a plurality of electronic control instruments provided with a failure diagnosis portion being connected to a main line of a multiplex communication line comprising the steps of: storing different diagnosis trouble codes respectively, at a failure diagnosis portion of the electronic control device, when the electronic control instrument is malfunctioning, or when an abnormality including disconnection or short circuit has occurred in a communication line from the main line of the multiplex communication line to a branch line that is connected to the electronic control instrument (see at least columns 1-2, lines 40-19; column 3, lines 18-64; columns 4-5, lines 35-27; and columns 6-7, lines 31-45). Matsuda et al. do not disclose measuring a resistance value of the main line

Application/Control Number: 10/759,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

resistance in the multiplex communication line, and identifying an abnormality portion in the

communication network by a combination of the measured resistance value of the main line and

the diagnosis trouble code that is stored in the failure diagnosis portion (see at least columns 7-8,

lines 27-56; and column 9, lines 6-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Matsuda et al. by combining

measuring a resistance value of the main line resistance in the multiplex communication line for

providing an accurate data to perform failure diagnosis in vehicle communication network.

5. Claims 2-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

. Michihira et al. (5,343,472)

. Matsumaru et al. (5,818,673)

. Fukuda (6,091,711)

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other Friday.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner Dalena Tran

Dalerol tun

April 15, 2005